



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/805,887	03/15/2001	Rajiv Laroia	11585-008001	3522
26479	7590	07/08/2005	EXAMINER	
STRAUB & POKOTYLO 620 TINTON AVENUE BLDG. B, 2ND FLOOR TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/805,887

Applicant(s)

LAROIA ET AL.

Examiner

Steven HD Nguyen

Art Unit

2665

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 45-49 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 and 50-73 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/01, 2/05, 10/02.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group II in the reply filed on 2/18/05 is acknowledged. The applicant does not state any reason for traversing the restriction. This is not found persuasive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 31 objected to because of the following informalities: this claim must depend on claim 30. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As claims 3, 4, line 2, claim 5, line 4, "the allocated tone set" does not refer to any previous element.

As claim 14, lines 2-3, "the digital signal sample vector" does not refer to any previous element.

As claim 14, line 3, "the sampling circuit" does not refer to any previous element.

As claim 16, lines 2-3, "the digital signal sample vector" does not refer to any previous element.

As claim 16, line 4, "the communication system" does not refer to any previous element.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 4-5, 12, 16-28, 20-22, 25, 29-33, 40-41, 53, 65, 67 and 69-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frenkel (USP 5838268) in view of Shattil (WO 99/41871).

Regarding claims 1, 17, 25, 29, 30 and 41, Frenkel discloses a communication system for generating an OFDM signal having frequency tones distributed over a predetermined bandwidth, the communication system comprising a mapping circuit (Fig 1, Ref 20) that receives data symbols from a symbol constellation and maps the symbols to prescribed time instants in a time domain symbol duration to generate a discrete signal of mapped symbols; an interpolation circuit (Fig 1, Ref 30 for combining the phase shift carriers to produce one or more information modulated pulses centered at the predetermined instants in time wherein the pulses are distributed throughout each data symbol interval) receives the discrete signal and generates a continuous signal by applying an interpolation function to the discrete signal, the interpolation

Art Unit: 2665

function operating on the discrete signal (See col. 10, lines 15 to col. 12, lines 18). However, Frenkel fails to fully disclose a frequency response of the continuous signal includes sinusoids having non-zero values at a first set of tones, the first set of tones being a subset of said multiple tones, the non-zero value at each of said first set of tones being a function of a plurality of mapped symbols corresponding to different discrete points in time, the frequency response of the continuous signal also including zero values at a second set of tones, the second set of tones being different from said first set of tones and being another subset of said multiple tones. In the same field of endeavor, Shattil discloses frequency response of the continuous signal includes sinusoids having non-zero values at a first set of tones, the first set of tones being a subset of said multiple tones, the non-zero value at each of said first set of tones being a function of a plurality of mapped symbols corresponding to different discrete points in time, the frequency response of the continuous signal also including zero values at a second set of tones, the second set of tones being different from said first set of tones and being another subset of said multiple tones (Fig Ref 20 functions as an interpolation circuit because it combines the phase shifted carriers to produce one or more information-modulated pulses centered at the predetermined instants in time. Multiple pulses may be distributed throughout each data symbol interval. The frequency response of the pulses includes sinusoids).

Since, Shattil suggests the transmitter can be implemented in OFDM system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to apply a method and system includes a frequency response includes sinusoids having non zero values for first set carrier and zero values for second set carrier as stated by Shattil into the method and system of Frenkel. The motivation would have been to reduce interference.

Regarding claims 50 and 67, Frenkel discloses a OFDM system for receiving as input data symbols to be transmitted by the OFDM communication signal (Fig 1, Ref 10); mapping the data symbols to the equally spaced time instants in the symbol duration to generate a discrete signal of mapped symbols (Fig 1, Ref 20); generating a continuous signal by applying an interpolation function to the discrete signal (Fig 1, Ref 30). However, Frenkel fails to disclose the interpolation function operating on the discrete signal such that a frequency response of the continuous signal includes sinusoids having non-zero values at the allocated frequency tones, and zero values at frequency tones other than the allocated frequency tones; and sampling the continuous signal at discrete time instants distributed over the time domain symbol duration, to generate a digital signal sample vector and providing a time domain symbol duration having equally spaced time instants; allocating a predetermined number of frequency tones to the communication device. In the same field of endeavor, Shattil discloses the interpolation function operating on the discrete signal such that a frequency response of the continuous signal includes sinusoids having non-zero values at the allocated frequency tones, and zero values at frequency tones other than the allocated frequency tones (Fig 1, ref 20); allocating a predetermined number of frequency tones to the communication device (Page 7, lines 24-29 and page 12, lines 3-8); providing a time domain symbol duration having equally spaced time instants (Page 7, lines 24-29 and Page 6, line 4-11). However, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose sampling the continuous signal at discrete time instants distributed over the time domain symbol duration, to generate a digital signal sample vector. However, a sampling circuit is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of invention was made to implement a sampling circuit for sampling the signal into he

Art Unit: 2665

teaching of Shattil which includes a frequency response includes sinusoids having non zero values for first set carrier and zero values for second set carrier into the method and system of Frenkel. The motivation would have been to reduce interference.

Regarding claim 4, 5, 20, 21, 53 and 69, Shattil discloses the frequency tones within the allocated tone set are equally spaced frequency tones, and the prescribed time instants are equally spaced and uniformly distributed over a fraction of one symbol duration which is defined by $1/L$ where L is the spacing between two adjacent allocated frequency tones in the allocated tone set (Fig 8, page 7, line 33 to page 8, line 7, equally spaced carrier frequencies distributed over a predetermined frequency band. Since the carriers in a set of non-adjacent carrier frequencies are separated by an integer multiple L of the adjacent carrier frequency separations f_s , the frequency spacing f_s' in the set of non-adjacent carriers is: $f_s' = L f_s$. Thus, the effective symbol duration T' (i.e., pulse repetition period) of the non-adjacent carriers is a fraction $1/L$ of the symbol period $1/f_s$, such as described on page 5, lines 34-35, and represented by equation $T' = T_b/L$).

Regarding claim 12, 40 and 70, Frenkel discloses the data symbols are complex symbols associated with a symbol constellation (Fig 1, Ref 10).

Regarding claims 16, Frenkel discloses including a digital to analog converter operable to receive the digital signal sample vector and generate an analog signal for transmission within the communication system (Fig 1, ref 50).

Regarding claims 18 and 32, Shattil discloses the allocated frequency tones are associated with a designated transmitter within the communication system to be used FDM (each user may be allocated a unique set of carriers (such as described on page 7, lines 27-29 and page 12, lines

Art Unit: 2665

3-8; FIG. 8 (page 7, lines 33-35; FIG. 12A show carrier frequencies allocated to a particular user).

Regarding claims 22, Shattil discloses the allocated frequency tones are contiguous frequency tones, and the prescribed time instants are equally spaced time instants uniformly distributed over one symbol duration (a plurality of carriers provided with phase offsets to produce pulse waveforms centered at predetermined time instants (page 2, lines 32-36, page 5, lines 1-4, page 5, line 28 to page 6, line 27, and page 7, lines 27-32, and shown in Figs. 4, 5B, and 12B; The carriers are modulated with data symbols on page 4, lines 25-28; such that each data symbol is mapped to a pulse centered at a predetermined instant in time. Thus the values of the data-modulated pulses at the pulse peak (i.e., time instances at which the pulses are centered) equal the value of the data symbol corresponding to the pulse position).

Regarding claim 33, Frenkel disclose a transmitter (Fig 1).

Regarding claim 65, Frenkel discloses raise cosine (Fig 6, Ref 360).

3. Claims 2-3, 6, 19, 38-39, 51-52, 64 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frenkel and Shattil as applied to claims 1, 17, 30, 50 and 67 above, and further in view of Nasar (IEEE).

Regarding claim 2, 39 and 51, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose the discrete time instants are defined within the range of $0, T/N, 2T/N, \dots, T(N-1)/N$, where N is a total number of time instants in the predetermine time interval. In the same field of endeavor, Nassar describes the spacing of the pulse positions (i.e., time instants) corresponding to zeroes in the cross-correlation function (shown in equation 5 and described on page 2, column 1, line 36 to page 2, column 2, line 26). The pulses are centered at equally spaced instants: $k/N \Delta f$

Art Unit: 2665

where $k = 0, 2, \dots, N-1$, N is the number of carriers, and $\Delta f = 1/T_b$ is the frequency separation between the carriers. Thus, the time instants are defined by $0, T_b/N, 2T_b/N, \dots, T_b(N-1)/N$.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to define the range of discrete time instance as disclosed by Nassar into the system and method of Frenkel and Shattil. The motivation would have been to obtain a quality signal by reducing the noise.

Regarding claim 3, 19, 52 and 68, Frenkel fails to disclose the claimed invention. Shattil01 discloses a the frequency tones within the allocated tone set are contiguous frequency tones (Fig 5, Page 4, lines 28-34). However, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose the prescribed time instants are equally spaced and uniformly distributed over one symbol duration. In the same field of endeavor, Nassar discloses the prescribed time instants are equally spaced and uniformly distributed over one symbol duration (Page 2, Sec 2, a plurality of pulses equal to the number of carriers can be positioned orthogonally at uniformly spaced instances in each symbol duration. A symbol duration T_b equals the inverse of the frequency spacing Δf between the carriers. The time instants are spaced apart at intervals of T_b/N).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to apply prescribed time instants are equally spaced and uniformly distributed over one symbol duration as disclosed by Nassar into the system and method of Frenkel and Shattil. The motivation would have been to obtain a quality signal by reducing the noise.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 6 and 64, Nassar discloses a total number of discrete time instants is greater than or equal to a total number of frequency tones distributed over the predetermined bandwidth (Page 1, Right col. Line 33 to page 2, left col. 3; a multicarrier systems in which a number of users (i.e., pulse positions) may be greater than the number of carriers).

Regarding claim 38, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose a sampling circuit that samples the continuous signal at discrete time instants distributed over the time domain symbol duration to generate a digital signal sample vector. However, the use of sampling circuit is well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made to apply a sampling circuit into the teaching of Frenkel and Shattil in order to sampling a signal into a digital signal.

4. Claims 7, 23, 26, 34, 42 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frenkel and Shattil as applied to claims 1, 30 and 50 above, and further in view of Petit (USP 5491727).

Regarding claims 7, 23, 26, 34, 42 and 66, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose the interpolation circuit further includes a memory for storing the predetermined interpolation functions, and an interpolation function module for retrieving the interpolation functions from the memory and applying the interpolation functions to the discrete signal to generate the continuous signal. In the same field of endeavor, Petit discloses a lookup table of sine values and tables of envelop functions stored in memory and used to generate signals for transmission in multi tones system (See col. 6, lines 50-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply the tables for using to generate multi-tones signal as disclosed by

Art Unit: 2665

Petit into the system of Frenkel and Shattil. The motivation would have been to obtain a quality signal by reducing the noise.

5. Claims 8-11, 13-15, 24, 27-28, 35-37, 42-43, 54-63 and 71-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frenkel, Petit and Shattif as applied to claims 1, 25, 30, 41 and 50 above, and further in view of Nasar (IEEE).

Regarding claim 8, 27, 28, 36, 37, 43 and 44, Frenkel, Shattil and Petit fail to disclose the interpolation functions comprise a matrix of precomputed sinusoidal waveforms. However, Nassar discloses the interpolation functions comprise a matrix of precomputed sinusoidal waveforms (Page 3, equation 6 and 7 discloses a matrix of sinusoids).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a matrix of precomputed sinusoidal as disclosed by Petit into the system of Frenkel, Petit and Shattil. The motivation would have been to obtain a quality signal by reducing the noise.

Regarding claims 9 and 16, Fenkel discloses the interpolation functions comprise continuous interpolation functions (Fig 1, Ref 30).

Regarding claims 10, 11, 24, 35, 54 and 55, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose the mapping circuit replicates the discrete signal of mapped symbols to generate an infinite series of mapped symbols over prescribed time instants covering a time interval from minus infinite to plus infinite and the interpolation functions comprise sinc interpolation functions, and the interpolation circuit applies the sinc interpolation functions to the infinite series of mapped symbols. However, the generation of a continuous function via interpolating a series of symbols with a sinc function is well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made.

Art Unit: 2665

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement mapping circuit replicates the discrete signal of mapped symbols to generate an infinite series of mapped symbols over prescribed time instants covering a time interval from minus infinite to plus infinite into the teaching of Frenkel and Shattil. The motivation would have been to obtain a quality signal by reducing the noise.

Regarding claim 13, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose including a digital signal processor for implementing the mapping circuit and the interpolation circuit. However, Frenkel suggest DSP to be use for implement mapping circuit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement both circuit into DSP of Frenkel and Shattil. The motivation would have been to reduce the cost of the system.

Regarding claims 14, 15, 62 and 63, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose cyclic prefix. However, the cyclic prefix is well known and expected in the OFDM art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a circuit for adding the cyclic prefix in the OFDM signal of Frenkel. The motivation would have been to prevent interference.

Regarding claims 56-61 and 71-73, Frenkel and Shattil fail to disclose the claimed invention. However, it is well known in the art at the time of invention was made to provide a phase offset equal to $\pi/4$ by using offset QPSK such delaying an odd with even bit stream; mapping complex symbols to equally space time instant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to implement a phase offset by $\pi/4$ using offset QPSK into the system of Frenkel and Shattil. The motivation would have been to obtain a quality signal by reducing noise.

Art Unit: 2665

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'S' followed by a large loop and a horizontal stroke.

Steven HD Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2665
7/5/05